

TO: ARIN GUMP STRAUSS HAVER & FELD LLP
ONE BRYANT PARK
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036-6745

ATTN: ARIK PREIS
SARA BRAUNER
EDAN LISOVICZ

RE: OBJECTION LETTER, FILING, AND REASONING

PROVIDED TO MARION C.I.
ON 4-26-21 FOR MAILING *JS*

DEAR ARIK PREIS,

FIRST OF ALL I WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS MY GRATITUDE BY THANKING
YOU FOR FILING MY PREVIOUS LETTER AS AN OBJECTION TO THE "DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT." HOWEVER, PLEASE BEAWARE THAT UPON RETURNING FUTURE
CORRESPONDENCES THAT YOU USE YOUR LETTER HEAD OR PUT A RETURN NAME

AND ADDRESS ON THE ENVELOPE, THIS STICKER WAS PLACED ON THE ENVELOPE AND CAME THROUGH THE REGULAR MAIL WHICH IS NOT PRIVILEGED, LIABLE TO BE READ BY ANY STAFF MEMBER. MY LEGAL ENDEAVORS I PREFER TO KEEP CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL FINALIZED IN COURT.

All incoming mail shall contain the return address of the sender. Mail will be rejected and returned to USPS where it becomes "dead mail"

Pursuant to Chapter 33-210.101 (15) (a)

SECONDLY, I BELIEVE THE PROPER WORD IS TO "PROTEST" TO THE COURT, THAT I'M BEING IMPEDED FROM FILING PROPER MOTIONS IN A TIMELY MANNER WHEN BECOMING AWARE OF THE "DISCLOSURE STATEMENT" I HAD MY MOTHER CALL THE TOLL FREE NUMBER TO OBTAIN IT, SHE TOLD ME, SHE WAS INFORMED THAT ME BEING INCARCERATED WAS UNABLE TO VOTE AND THAT THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS WERE NOT AVAILABLE OR BEING SENT OUT. THE ONLY ALTERNATIVE, BECAUSE TIME WAS QUICKLY TOLLING TO THE OBJECTION DEADLINE DATE, WAS TO CALL MY SISTER, SHE WAS ABLE TO GET SOMEONE AT THE PRIME CLERK TO SEND ME A COPY. THE PROBLEM BEING, I RECEIVED THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ON APRIL 15, 2021 ONE DAY AFTER THE DEADLINE.

"
NEXT I RECEIVED THE "AGENDA FOR APRIL 21, 2021 HEARING" TWO
DAYS AFTERWARD ON APRIL 23, 2021, ANOTHER PROBLEM IS GETTING
THE LOCAL RULES FOR THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN
DISTRICT OF NEW YORK. IN THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS'
LAW LIBRARYS YOU'D BE LUCKY TO GET ANY OF THE LOCAL RULES
FOR THE FLORIDA FEDERAL DISTRICTS. THE ON GOING JOKE IS WHEN
THE COURT CLERK OR OTHER FEDERAL EMPLOYEES IS TO KEEP

FILING FEDERAL HADENS CORPUS ON OTHER FEDERAL RULES.
A ONE INCH MARGIN ALL AROUND THE PAGE JUST TO BE SAFE. THE
LAW CLERKS KNEW OF NO OTHER LOCAL RULES.

THIRDLY, MY REASONING FOR OBJECTING TO CERTAIN CLAIMS. OBJECTING
TO PERSONS WITHOUT A LEGAL PRESCRIPTION IS SELF EXPLANATORY. PURDUE-
PHARMA WOULD NOT BE NEGLIGENT TO THESE PERSONS AND WILL FRAUDENTLY
TAKE ASSETS FROM PERSONS WITH LEGITIMATE CLAIMS. AS TO THE OBJECTION
ALL STATE AND FEDERAL ATTORNEY CLAIMS; IT CAME TO MY AWARENESS
LISTENING TO THE HOURLY RADIO NEWS UPDATES THAT SEVERAL STATES,
FEDERAL PROSECUTORS, AND PURDUE-PHARMA AN AGREEMENT FOR 8.3 BILLION
DOLLARS HAD BEEN REACHED, FOR CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CHARGES. THIS GIVES
A LION SHARE OF THE ASSETS TO THE GOVERNMENT, LEAVING A PITTANCE
TO PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMS; FOR CHRONIC PAIN SUFFERERS LIKE MYSELF
WHO WERE LEAD TO BELIEVE OXYCOTIN WAS A SAFE ALTERNATIVE TO PAIN. IT
COULD BE ARGUED ALSO THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S AGENCIES WERE COMPLICIT
AN ALLOWING THE DEFECTIVE MEDICATION TO COME TO MARKET AND BEING
REWARDED FOR INCOMPETENCE. AND FOR THE RHETORICAL QUESTION, WILL
THE STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS WHEN RECEIVING THESE BILLIONS OF
DOLLARS GIVE THE TAX PAYERS A BREAK ² OR REFUND.

ALTHOUGH I AM NOT APPOINTED AN ADVOCATE FOR ANY OTHER PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMANT, I WOULD LIKE TO ADVOCATE FOR THOSE IN MY PERSONAL INJURY GROUP. PROBABLY DUE TO IGNORANCE OF THE LAW OR BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS THERE ARE NO OTHER OBJECTIONS TO THE "DISCLOSURE STATEMENT" WITH ONE EXCEPTION. WITH ALL MY POST CONVICTION MOTIONS I HAVE FILED AND A BANKRUPTCY EXPERIENCE, THAT IS WHY I ASKED THE AKIN GUMP

GROUP TO OBJECT FOR ME, PLEASE FILE THIS WITH THE COURT IF YOU
BELEIVE THIS WILL HELP WITH THE MAY 4, 2021 HEARING. ALTHOUGH
IT IS NOT GOING TO A HIGHER COURT, I CONSIDER IT LIKE AN
INTERLUCTORY APPEAL TO THE JUDGE FOR ALL PERSONS IN MY PERSONAL
INJURY GROUP WHO MAY HAVE NO OTHER RECOURSE UNDER THE LAW;
PRAYING IT GIVES GREATER WEIGHT TO MY OBJECTIONS TO THE "DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT."

SINCERELY

David P. Stimus